

## **U.S. Department of Justice**

United States Attorney Southern District of New York

86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007

January 14, 2025

BY ECF

Hon. Denise L. Cote United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 1610 New York, New York 10007

Re: New York Times & Khan v. U.S. Department of Defense,

22 Civ. 9481 (DLC)

Dear Judge Cote:

I write respectfully on behalf of Defendant the United States Department of Defense ("DoD") in this action brought pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 ("FOIA"), to update the Court on the status of DoD's processing of the respective FOIA requests in this matter.

This case concerns four FOIA requests submitted by Azmat Khan ("Khan"), a reporter with The New York Times (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), seeking records from three DoD components relating to reported civilian casualties from military operations. Khan submitted one FOIA request to the United States Africa Command ("AFRICOM"), two requests to the United States Central Command ("CENTCOM"), and one request to the United States Army ("Army").

## 1. The AFRICOM Request

The FOIA request to AFRICOM seeks records concerning 37 alleged incidents of civilian casualties. As previously reported to the Court, AFRICOM identified 174 potentially responsive records, comprising approximately 1200 pages. In addition to review within AFRICOM, many of those records required consultation with other agencies and/or DoD components, including multiple sub-components of the United States Special Operations Command ("SOCOM"). AFRICOM is awaiting responses to the last two outstanding consultation requests, but has successfully resolved the technical issue relating to the processing of these remaining records. Accordingly, upon receipt of the last consultation responses, AFRICOM expects to make a final production of any non-exempt responsive records.

## 2. The Baghuz Requests to Army and CENTCOM

Two of the FOIA requests at issue in this case—the request submitted to Army and one of the two requests submitted to CENTCOM—concern a civilian casualty incident in Baghuz, Syria on March 18, 2019. The Secretary of Defense tasked U.S. Army General Michael X. Garrett, then-commander of U.S. Army Forces Command, to conduct an independent review of the incident, which was completed in late 2021. The FOIA request to Army seeks General Garrett's report and the evidentiary materials he considered. Those records were gathered by the Army and have been referred to CENTCOM for processing.<sup>1</sup> CENTCOM has produced General Garrett's report, with redactions of information determined to be exempt from disclosure under one or more FOIA exemptions. CENTCOM continues to process the evidentiary materials for CENTCOM equities at a rate of 150 pages every 60 days.<sup>2</sup> CENTCOM completed internal processing of 158 pages at the end of December, and its internal processing of the next and last set of records is due to be completed by the end of February.

## 3. The CENTCOM Payments Request

The second FOIA request to CENTCOM sought records concerning certain condolence or ex gratia payments referred to in a DoD report or congressional correspondence, as well as records concerning the underlying incidents that led to the payments. CENTCOM located three responsive records and produced the non-exempt portions of those records on October 31, 2023. CENTCOM has completed its response to this FOIA request.

We thank the Court for its consideration of this letter. DoD respectfully proposes to submit a further status report within 60 days, or by March 17, 2025.

Respectfully,

EDWARD Y. KIM Acting United States Attorney

/s/ Sarah S. Normand By:

SARAH S. NORMAND

Assistant United States Attorney Telephone: (212) 637-2709

Email: sarah.normand@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Defendant

<sup>1</sup> The parties have agreed that CENTCOM will hold the separate CENTCOM request regarding the Baghuz incident in abeyance pending its processing of the records responsive to the Army request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This does not include any consultations with other DoD components or agencies that may be required.